

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/802,268	CHAO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Janet Suglo	2857	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Abandoned

 (1) Janet Suglo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) T.Murray Smith (Registration Number 30,222).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 October 2006
**Time:** 2:40pm
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner asked the status of the case. Applicant acknowledged that no further action had been sent after 5/25/06.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 MARC S. HOFF  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)